PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty WIPO

REC'D 0 5 DEC 2005

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

| Applicant's or agent's file reference 80.WO1 | FOR FURTHER AC | CTION | See Form PCT/IPEA/416 | | | | |
|--|---|-----------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| International application No. PCT/US2004/038920 | International filing date (| (day/month/year) | Priority date (day/month/year) 21.11.2003 | | | | |
| International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC C07D307/68, C07D409/04, C07D409/10, A61K31/381, A61P3/06 | | | | | | | |
| Applicant ARENA PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. et al. | | | | | | | |
| This report is the international part Authority under Article 35 and to the control of the | This report is the international preliminary examination report, established by this International Preliminary Examining Authority under Article 35 and transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36. | | | | | | |
| 2. This REPORT consists of a total | al of 14 sheets, including | this cover sheet. | | | | | |
| 3. This report is also accompanied | d by ANNEXES, comprisir | ng: | | | | | |
| | d to the International Bure | | | | | | |
| and/or sheets conta Administrative Instr | | | | | | | |
| ☐ sheets which superbeyond the disclosu Supplemental Box. | sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental Box. | | | | | | |
| sequence listing and/or | | | | | | | |
| 4. This report contains indications | relating to the following it | ems: | | | | | |
| ☐ Box No. I Basis of the o | pinion | | | | | | |
| ☐ Box No. II Priority | | | | | | | |
| 🛭 Box No. III Non-establish | ment of opinion with rega | ard to novelty, inventive s | step and industrial applicability | | | | |
| ☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity | | | | | | | |
| | atement under Article 35(2 citations and explanations | | inventive step or industrial ent | | | | |
| ☐ Box No. VI Certain docu | | | | | | | |
| 1 | ts in the international app | | | | | | |
| ☑ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application | | | | | | | |
| Date of submission of the demand | | Date of completion of this | s report | | | | |
| 14.06.2005 | | 01.12.2005 | | | | | |
| Name and mailing address of the internal | ional | Authorized Officer | achas Petenzen. | | | | |
| preliminary examining authority: European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 52 Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465 | :3656 epmu d | Weisbrod, T | 399- | | | | |
| 1 | | 1 | | | | | |

International application No. PCT/US2004/038920

| | | | _ | | | | | |
|----|---|---|----------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Box No | . I Basis of the report | | | | | | |
| 1. | With regard to the language , this report is based on the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. | | | | | | | |
| | ☐ This | s report is based on translations from the original language into the following language, ch is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of: | | | | | | |
| | | international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)) publication of the international application (under Rule 12.4) international preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3) | | | | | | |
| 2. | have be | pard to the elements* of the international application, this report is based on <i>(replacement sheets whicl</i> ten furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this s "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report): | ' | | | | | |
| | Descript | tion, Pages | | | | | | |
| | 1-67 | as originally filed | | | | | | |
| | Sequen | Sequence listings part of the description, Pages | | | | | | |
| | 65-67 | as originally filed | | | | | | |
| | Claims, | Numbers | | | | | | |
| | 1-48 | as originally filed | | | | | | |
| | □ as | equence listing and/or any related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing | | | | | | |
| 3. | ☐ The | e amendments have resulted in the cancellation of: | | | | | | |
| | | the description, pages the claims, Nos. | | | | | | |
| | | the drawings, sheets/figs the sequence listing <i>(specify)</i> : | | | | | | |
| | | any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify): | | | | | | |
| 4. | had not | s report has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the mental Box (Rule 70.2(c)). | | | | | | |
| | | the description, pages the claims, Nos. | | | | | | |
| | | the drawings, sheets/figs | | | | | | |
| | | the sequence listing (specify): any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify): | | | | | | |
| | * Tf | item 4 applies, some or all of these sheets may be marked "superseded." | | | | | | |

International application No. PCT/US2004/038920

| | | t No. III Non-establishment o licability | of opi | nion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial | | |
|--------------------|---|---|--------|--|--|--|
| ١. | | he questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non-bvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of: | | | | |
| | | the entire international application, | | | | |
| | ☒ | claims Nos. 27-37 | | | | |
| | | because: | | | | |
| | × | the said international application, or the said claims Nos. 27-37 relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify): | | | | |
| see separate sheet | | | | | | |
| | | the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify): | | | | |
| | | the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed. | | | | |
| | | no international search report has been established for the said claims Nos. | | | | |
| | | the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions in that: | | | | |
| | | the written form | | has not been furnished | | |
| | | | | does not comply with the standard | | |
| | | the computer readable form | | has not been furnished | | |
| | | | | does not comply with the standard | | |
| | | the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do not comply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions. | | | | |
| | | ☐ See separate sheet for further details | | | | |

International application No. PCT/US2004/038920

| | Box | No. IV | Lack of unity of it | nvention | 1 | | |
|---|--|--|---|--|-----------------------------|--|--|
| 1. | ☑ In response to the invitation to restrict or pay additional fees, the applicant has: ☐ restricted the claims. ☑ paid additional fees. ☐ paid additional fees under protest. ☐ neither restricted nor paid additional fees. | | | | | | |
| 2. | ☐ This Authority found that the requirement of unity of invention is not complied with and chose, according to Rule 68.1, not to invite the applicant to restrict or pay additional fees. | | | | | | |
| 3. | This | s Authorit | Authority considers that the requirement of unity of invention in accordance with Rules 13.1, 13.2 and 13.3 | | | | |
| ☐ complied with. | | | | | | | |
| | \boxtimes | not complied with for the following reasons: | | | | | |
| | | see separate sheet | | | | | |
| Consequently, this report has been established in respect of the following parts of the interna ☑ all parts. | | | | spect of the following parts of the international application: | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | the parts relating to claims Nos | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | x No. V olicability | Reasoned statem | ent und | ler Article 3 ns support | 5(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial ing such statement | |
| 1. | Sta | tement | | | | | |
| | Nov | velty (N) | | Yes: No: | Claims Claims | 9,10,12-16,18-22,26,30-31,42,46 1-8,11,17,23-25,27-29,32-41,43-45,47-48 | |
| Inv | | oventive step (IS) | | Yes: No: | Claims Claims | 1-48 | |
| | Industrial applicability (IA) | | Yes: No: | Claims Claims | 1-26,38-48 | | |
| 2. | Cita | ations and | l explanations (Rule | ÷ 70.7): | | | |

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (January 2004)

see separate sheet

International application No. PCT/US2004/038920

Box No. VI Certain documents cited

 Certain published documents (Rule 70.10) and /or

2. Non-written disclosures (Rule 70.9)

see separate sheet

Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application

The following defects in the form or contents of the international application have been noted:

see separate sheet

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

see separate sheet

PCT/US2004/038920

Re Item I

Basis of the opinion

The application is directed to

- (i) 4-oxo-4,5-dihydro-furan-2-carboxylic acid derivatives (I) (claims 1-24),
- (ii) a pharmaceutical composition comprising a compound (I) (claims 25-26),
- (iii) the corresponding therapeutic methods (claims 27-37),
- (iv) the medical use of compounds (I) (claims 38-47), and
- (v) a method for producing a pharmaceutical composition with a compound (l) (claim 48).

Re Item III

Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

Claims 27-37 relate to subject-matter considered by this Authority to be covered by the provisions of Rule 67.1(iv) PCT. Consequently, no opinion will be formulated with respect to the industrial applicability of the subject-matter of these claims (Article 34(4)(a)(i) PCT).

Re Item IV

Lack of unity of invention

See item V.3 below.

Re Item V

Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

- 1 Reference is made to the following documents.
 - D1: WISE, A. ET AL. JOURNAL OF BIOLOGICAL CHEMISTRY, vol. 278, no. 11, 14 March 2003, pages 9869-9874.
 - D2: JIRKOVSKY, I.; CAYEN, M. N. J. MED. CHEM., vol. 25, no. 10, 1982, pages 1154-1156.

- D3: WO 80/00025 A, 10 January 1980.
- D4: US-A-4 244 958, 13 January 1981.
- D5: KALLAI-SANFACON, M. A. ET AL. PROC. SOC. EXP. BIOL. MED., vol. 173, 1983, pages 367-371.
- D6: US 2004/142377 A1, 22 July 2004.
- D7: CAINE, D. S.; PAIGE, M. A. SYNLETT, vol. 9, 1999, pages 1391-1394.
- D8: MEISTER, H.; PEITSCHER, G. LIEBIGS ANN. CHEM., 1974, pages 1908-1914.

D6 was published after the priority date. Under the presumption that the priority is valid for the claimed matter the said document is not considered as prior art under Rule 64.1 PCT.

- 2 Novelty
- 2.1 The present claims 1-8, 11, 17, 23-25, 27-29, 32-41, 43-45, 47, and 48 lack novelty in view of one or more of the documents **D2** to **D4**.
- 2.2 **D1** relates to the molecular identification of high and low affinity receptors for nicotinic acid. In this context the document identified 4-oxo-5-methyl-5-phenyl-4,5-dihydrofuran-2-carboxylic acid (acifran), a compound reported to produce a pharmacological effect resembling that of nicotinic acid, as a full agonist of such nicotinic acid receptor (**D1**, page 9873, last paragraph, to page 9874, first paragraph). **D5**, similarly, refers to the lipid-lowering properties of 4-oxo-5-methyl-5-phenyl-4,5-dihydrofuran-2-carboxylic acid in normal and hyperlipidemic rats. The document mentions that the compound lowers serum triglyceride, free fatty acid and LDL cholesterol concentrations, and it is concluded that it's mode of action resembles that of nicotinic acid. **D1** and **D5** are not relevant to the question of novelty of the application, because acifran (i.e. the compound according to the present formula (I) with R¹ = R² = H, R³ = phenyl, and R⁴ = methyl) is not comprised within the present claims.

D2 to **D4** relate to hypolipidemic 4-oxo-4,5-dihydro-furan-2-carboxylic acid derivatives (**D2** to **D4**), the corresponding pharmaceutical compositions and therapeutic methods

of lowering lipid levels in a mammal (**D3**, claims 23, 26; **D4**, claims 27-28). **D2** discloses a present compound (I) wherein R¹ and R² is hydrogen, R³ is substituted phenyl (i.e. 4-chlorophenyl) and R⁴ is methyl (**D2**, compound **5c**), thereby resulting in a lack of novelty of present claims 1, 4-7, 11, and 17. Furthermore, the present compounds (I) substantially overlap with the compounds of **D3** and **D4** when R¹ and R² are H or C₁-6alkyl (**D3/D4**: R³ and R⁴); R³ is aryl, substituted phenyl, 2-chlorophenyl, and 3-chlorophenyl (**D3/D4**: R¹); and R⁴ is ethyl, n-propyl, C₄-6alkyl, and C₁-6alkyl (**D3/D4**: R²). In addition, both document disclose already a specific compound within the overlapping range (**D3/D4**: claim 7 each). The present claims 1-8, 11, 17, 23-25, 27-29, 32-41, 43-45, 47, and 48 lack thus novelty for the whole overlapping range with the document **D3** and **D4**. In this context it is noted that the teaching of **D3** and **D4** is not merely limited to the specific examples.

D7 and **D8** show 2-methyl-furan-4-ones bearing a cyclopropyl (**D7**, compounds **5a/5b**) or a heterocycloalkenyl (**D8**, compound **3**) in the present position R³. As these compounds are 2-methyl rather than 2-carboxy furanones, **D7** and **D8** are not relevant to the question of novelty of the application.

- 2.3 D6 relates to a method of identifying whether a compound is a modulator of a nicotinic acid GPCR. Furthermore it is directed to a modulator, preferably an agonist, of a nicotinic acid receptor RUP25 identified according to said method. Although, the present compounds (I) are not disclosed in D6, it may become relevant to the question of inventive step if the present claimed date of priority was not valid.
- 3 Unity of Invention

The application as filed is considered to lack unity of invention since its subject-matter relates not to one but rather to five separate inventions not linked together by a common underlying inventive concept as required by Rules 13.1 and 13.2 PCT. The claims and inventions to which the separate inventions relate are grouped as follows (in the order chosen by the applicant).

(1) Claims 1-5 and 23-48 (all part) directed to compounds (I) wherein R³ is unsubstituted aryl and R⁴ is H; as well as subject matter referring to such compounds

(1).

- (2) Claims 1-5, 6-10, and 22-48 (all part) directed to compounds (I) wherein R³ is unsubstituted aryl and R⁴ is ethyl, n-propyl, C₄-6alkyl or C₁-6haloalkyl; as well as subject matter referring to such compounds (I).
- (3) Claims 1-11, 17, 21-48 (all part) and claim 16 (complete) directed to compounds (I) wherein R³ is C₃₋₇cycloalkyl or C₃₋₇cycloalkenyl; as well as subject matter referring to such compounds (I).
- (4) Claims 1-11, 17, 21-48 (all part) and claims 12-13, 15, 19, 20 (all complete) directed to compounds (I) wherein R³ is heteroaryl, C₃₋₇heterocycloalkyl or C₃₋₇heterocycloalkenyl; as well as subject matter referring to such compounds (I).
- (5) Claims 1-11, 17, 21-48 (all part) and claims 14, 18 (all complete) directed to compounds (I) wherein R³ is substituted aryl, substituted phenyl, 2-chlorophenyl, 3-chlorophenyl or naphthyl; as well as subject matter referring to such compounds (I).

The identified inventions involve the technical feature of a "5-(R^3 , R^4)-substituted 4-oxo-4,5-dihydro-furan-2-carboxylic acid" as the sole common link. However, this feature cannot be accepted to constitute a special technical feature because it does not define a contribution over the prior art. The document **D1** discloses already that the compound acifran (i.e. a compound according to present formula (I) wherein $R^1 = R^2 = H$, $R^3 = \text{phenyl}$, and $R^4 = \text{methyl}$) is as an agonist at a nicotinic acid receptor. Starting from this document the problem underlying the present application may be seen in the provision of further 4-oxo-4,5-dihydro-furan-2- carboxylic acid derivatives as nicotinic acid receptor agonists. The contributions claimed in the present application which are possibly made over the prior art are:

- (a) the provision of further nicotinic acid receptor agonists by replacing the (R⁴)methyl group in acifran of **D1** with H;
- (b) the provision of further nicotinic acid receptor agonists by replacing the

- (R⁴)methyl group in acifran of **D1** with a substituent having two ore more non-hydrogen atoms;
- (c) the provision of further nicotinic acid receptor agonists by replacing the (R³)phenyl group in acifran of **D1** with a non-aromatic carbocyclic group;
- (d) the provision of further nicotinic acid receptor agonists by replacing the (R³)phenyl group in acifran of **D1** with a heterocyclic group; and
- (e) the provision of further nicotinic acid receptor agonists by replacing the (R³)phenyl group in acifran of **D1** with a substituted aryl group.

These contributions, however, have nothing more in common than each single of these contributions has in common with the prior art. Hence, starting from **D1** these contributions diverge in five different directions and are, thus, not so linked as to form one single inventive concept, which would support the unity of the invention.

4 Inventive Step

Insofar as the application relates to novel subject matter the following observations would apply to the requirements of inventive step.

- 4.1 The application describes the synthesis of certain compounds (I) and states vaguely that "certain compounds of the invention have an EC₅₀ in the range of about 30 nM to about 30 μ M" (page 49, lines 21-22).
- 4.2 **D1** discloses that 4-oxo-5-methyl-5-phenyl-4,5-dihydrofuran-2-carboxylic acid (acifran; present formula (I) with R¹ = R² = H, R³ = phenyl, and R⁴ = methyl), a compound known to produce a pharmacological effect alike nicotinic acid, is a nicotinic acid receptor full agonist. Starting from **D1** as most relevant state of the art the problem underlying the application may thus be seen in the provision of further nicotinic acid receptor agonists.
- 4.3 Invention (1) as defined under item V.3 above

The compounds according to the aspect (1) of the present application differ from acifran of **D1** insofar as the they bear in position R⁴ a hydrogen atom rather than a methyl group. In addition, the documents **D2** to **D4** disclose hypolipidemic 4-oxo-4,5-dihydro-furan-2-carboxylic acid derivatives including acifran. These compounds, however, appear to require at least one carbon atom in position R⁴ for their hypolipidemic activity. For that reason it does not appear that the skilled person would have reasonable expectation of success in modifying acifran of **D1** in order to arrive at the present (R⁴)hydrogen compounds (I) whilst maintaining the desired activity. For that reason the subject matter of the aspect (1) of the application, in principle, might involve an inventive step.

See, however, the objections raised under items V.4.6 and V.4.7 below.

4.4 Inventions (2), (3), and (5) as defined under item V.3 above

The compounds of the aspect (2) differ from acifran of D1 in bearing in position R^4 instead of a methyl group a substituent with at least two non-hydrogen atoms. The compounds of the aspect (3) differ from said acifran in bearing in position R^3 a non-aromatic carbocyclic rather than a phenyl group; and the compounds of the aspect (5) differ from acifran in bearing in position R^3 a substituted aryl or phenyl group rather than unsubstituted phenyl. Such compounds are already taught in one or more of the documents D2 to D4 (cf. e.g. D3, claim 1: R^1/R^2 = lower alkyl, cyclo(lower)alkyl, and phenyl mono- or disubstituted with ...) and known to exhibit hypolipidemic activity alike acifran disclosed in documents D1 to D4. Starting from D1 in combination with one of the documents D2 to D4, the compounds according to the aspects (2), (3), and (5) represent merely obvious alternatives of acifran of D1. In the absence of any substantiated unexpected effect(s) of those compounds of the aspects (2), (3), and (5), which are structurally closest related to acifran, in comparison with acifran of D1, no inventive activity would be seen in the aspects (2), (3) and (5) of the application.

In addition, see item V.4.7 below.

4.5 Invention (4) as defined under item V.3 above

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/US2004/038920

The compounds of the aspect (4) differ from acifran of **D1** in bearing in position R³ instead of phenyl a heterocyclic group. It does not appear that any of the cited documents hints or suggests that the (R³)phenyl group may be replaced with a heterocyclic group whilst maintaining the desired activity. For that reason the subject matter of the aspect (4) of the application, in principle, might involve an inventive step.

See, however, the objections raised under items V.4.6 and V.4.7 below.

- 4.6 At present, however, the application does not provide any substantiation that the technical problem has been really solved by the compounds of the aspects (1) and (4) of the application. Under these circumstances, the only basis for accepting that the claimed compounds would solve the problem posed, would be common general knowledge. The same common general knowledge, however, would be similarly applicable to the assessment whether the solution of the technical problem is to be considered obvious. Consequently, in the absence of any substantiation of the technical effect and any instructions how said effect has been assessed, no inventive step would be acknowledged for the subject matter of the aspects (1) and (4).
- 4.7 If the applicant was able to substantiate that the compounds of the aspect (1) and (4) provide a solution of the problem underlying the application, or to substantiate an unexpected effect for certain of the compounds of the aspects (2), (3), and (5) in comparison with acifran, then it is also reminded that the breath of the claims should be such that it represents a plausible generalization over the examples provided, and such that it is credible that substantially all compounds falling within its scope actually provide a solution to the problem underlying the invention. In this context it is noted that the terms such as "aryl", "heteroaryl", "substituted", etc. used in the claims are open-ended and thus likely to comprise structures which will not solve any relevant technical problem. Hence, no inventive step would be acknowledged for such openended compounds and subject matter referring to them.
- 4.6 For these reasons, the claims 1-48 do at present not meet the requirements of inventive step.

4 Industrial Applicability

For the assessment of the present claims 27-37 on the question whether they are industrially applicable, no unified criteria exist in the PCT Contracting States. The patentability can also be dependent upon the formulation of the claims. The EPO, for example, does not recognize as industrially applicable the subject-matter of claims to the use of a compound in medical treatment, but may allow, however, claims to a known compound for first use in medical treatment and the use of such a compound for the manufacture of a medicament for a new medical treatment.

Re Item VI

Certain documents cited

Certain published documents

Application No Patent No Publication date (day/month/year)

Filing date (day/month/year)

Priority date (valid claim) (day/month/year)

US 2004/142377 A1

22.07.2004

06.12.2002

12.03.2002

Re Item VII

Certain defects in the international application

The relevant background art disclosed in **D1** to **D4** is not mentioned in the description, nor are these documents identified therein (Rule 5.1(a)(ii) PCT).

Re Item VIII

Certain observations on the international application

The application does not comply with the requirements of Article 6 PCT for the following reasons.

The difference between "unsubstituted aryl" and "naphthyl" as defined in claim 1 for R³ as well as the difference between "substituted aryl" and "substituted phenyl, 2-chlorophenyl, 3-chlorophenyl, and naphthyl" as defined in claim 1 for R³ is at present not evident, thereby resulting in a lack of clarity of the claim.

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/US2004/038920

- The term "metabolic-related disorder" used in claims 27, 32, 39, and 44 has no clear meaning and renders the said claims unclear (Article 6 PCT).
- The statement on page 63, line 37 to page 64, line 2 implies that the subject matter for which protection is sought might be different from what is defined in the claims, thereby resulting in a lack of clarity of the claims and the application.